Phase 2 Land Use Plan Review Call for Sites Assessment

Reference: PA/094

Site name: Bat species areas

AY Parcel(s): Various

Submission Type:

Protection/enhancement

Source: Private







Proposals

Summary of respondent's

proposal:

Protection of bat species across Alderney.

Planning history and other context: This site overlaps with all Call for Sites 2016-2017 submissions given it is an Island-wide proposal.

Accordance with the Land Use Plan Evidence Base

Accordance with the Lai		
Does the proposal accord with the Vision Statement?	Yes	Protection of bat species across Alderney would accord with the vision, which seeks to protect and sustainably manage its land and marine environment and maintain access to the Island's natural environment.
Does the proposal accord with the Housing Strategy?	N/A	The Housing Strategy does not relate to the proposed use.
Does the proposal accord with the Economic Development Strategy?	Partial	The proposal accords with Recommendation 3 of the Economic Development Strategy which states that the LUP should seek to achieve sustainable development by promoting a balanced strategy, which takes account of the economic development needs of Alderney in conjunction with the findings of the Land Use Plan Review Built Environment and Heritage Strategy and Land Use Plan Review Natural Environment Strategy.
		D121

Phase 2 Land Use Plan Review Call for Sites Assessment

Reference: PA/094

Site name: Bat species areas

Does the proposal accord with the Natural Environment Strategy?	Yes	The submission states that bat species, as a protected area, should be considered under species within the hierarchy of designations set out in the Natural Environment Strategy (NES). The submission continues to state that there are several bat species which roost and forage on Alderney and from an initial review, bat species could be considered as international (i.e. EC Habitats Directive), regional (UK, France and Channel Islands species of importance) and local (Alderney) conservation importance, in terms of planning, on Alderney. It should be noted that the Natural Environment Strategy identifies that due to resource constraints it had not been possible to review data relating to all known sites, habitats and species on the Island and that in parts of the Island insufficient survey work had been undertaken to determine potential sites, habitats and species which may require protection. The Natural Environment Strategy states that Alderney is a voluntary signatory to the Bonn Convention Agreement on Conservation of Bats in Europe. The Natural Environment Strategy recommends that in order to enhance the evidence base held on habitats present on Alderney, a rolling biodiversity audit of the Island should be undertaken (Recommendation 11). The Strategy states that it must be recognised that new species are being discovered all the time, including two new resident species of bat in 2016 alone, and that the planning process must be flexible enough to recognise and respond to such discoveries. Given the proposed protection of bats is Island-wide, the spatial extent overlaps with a range of sites and habitats identified under the international/regional and local tiers of the hierarchy of environmental designations set out in the Natural Environment Strategy (see Maps F.4, F.5 and F.6 in the Natural Environment Strategy). Protection of bats across the Island would need to align with the protection of these identified habitats, and may provide opportunities for joint protection and management.
Does the proposal accord with the Built Environment and Heritage Strategy?	Partial	The submission states that potentially any type or size of development project may have an impact upon bat roosts. The protection of bats across Alderney accords with Recommendation 3 of the Built Environment and Heritage Strategy which states that the LUP should seek to achieve sustainable development by promoting a balanced strategy, which takes account of the need to protect, conserve and enhance the Island's built environment and heritage in conjunction with the finding of the Land Use Plan Economic Development Strategy and Land Use Plan Review Natural Environment Strategy. Given the proposed protection of bats is Island-wide, the spatial extent overlaps with a range of heritage assets, considered in Appendix C of the Record of Heritage Assets on Alderney in the Built Environment and Heritage Strategy. Protection of bats across the Island would need to align with the protection, reuse and redevelopment of these identified heritage assets, and may provide opportunities for joint protection and management.

Assessment of Suitability, Availability and Achievability

proposed purpose? review data relating to all known sites, hinsufficient survey work had been under may require protection. Given bat species	fied that due to resource constraints it had not been possible to abitats and species on the Island and that in parts of the Island taken to determine potential sites, habitats and species which as across Alderney have not been directly assessed within the bility of the sites for such protection has not been demonstrated.
--	---

Phase 2 Land Use Plan Review Call for Sites Assessment

Reference:	PA/094

Site name: Bat species areas

Is the site available for the	Yes	The proposal has not been submitted by a sole landowner given it is for the Island-wide protection of
proposed purpose?	100	bats. However, availability of land is not required for this proposed purpose.
s the site achievable for the	Yes	Bat species, as a protected area, could be protected under the hierarchy of designations set out in the
proposed purpose?		Natural Environment Strategy, once their defined international, regional and local conservation
		designations have been assessed. Therefore, the protection of bats across the Island is achievable within the five year Plan period on the basis that the relevant survey work could be undertaken within this
		timescale.
Any other comments		
Conclusions Does the proposal accord	No	The case for the inclusion of bat species on the hierarchy of designations has not been sufficiently
with the emerging Land Use	.40	demonstrated. Inclusion on the hierarchy of designations should be made on the basis of additional
Plan?		survey work being undertaken to confirm their inclusion.
ndicative development capac		N/A