States of Alderney



APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT CONTROL (ALDERNEY) LAW, 2002, AS AMENDED Form for use for works of a minor or temporary nature

For Office Use	FORM A
Ref: O \	20) 2/
PA	2019/083.

Rec'd: 23/07/19 Adv: 25/07/19

Paid: £45

Receipt No: AD7327

A	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT Description Increase size of rear balcony as approved for Planning from 1.5m to 2.75m.	
.	APPLICATION SITE Address Burhou View, Le Petit. Val Lane Parcel No (AY N°) Cadastre Reference (if known)	
C	APPLICANT'S DETAILS Name Burrion \ United Then The Time	
D	AGENT'S DETAILS Name Barrie Pimm-Smith Address Tel Email	
	TREES AND HEDGES	NO X
F	Are any buildings / structures (including walls) to be demolished as part of the proposals? If yes, please confirm that the submitted plans clearly indicate all buildings / structures to be demolished.	NO X

	, ,,,,,	Windows Doors
TYPE eg: vertical, sliding sash, casement, top hung, tilt & turn	Existing Windows & Doors	Proposed Replacement
Design eg: 12 pane, 4 pane		
Glazing eg: single or double glazed		
Material & Finish eg: stained or painted timber, aluminium, uPVC		
Features eg: decorative horns, coloured glass, etc.		
	EVESTEH AFAHOV	
I/we hereby apply for accordance with the r this application are co I/we agree that any in Alderney, made acces	elevant legislation. I/we declare the prect and confirm that I/we have re formation given in this application ssible to the public, published in the	d in this form and the accompanying plans / drawings, in hat to the best of my/our knowldege, all the particulars in read and understood the accompanying Guidance Notes may be disclosed to relevant Departments of the States he local media and on the States of Alderney website
I/we hereby apply for accordance with the r this application are co I/we agree that any in Alderney, made acces	elevant legislation. I/we declare the prect and confirm that I/we have re formation given in this application ssible to the public, published in the	hat to the best of my/our knowldege, all the particulars in read and understood the accompanying Guidance Notes may be disclosed to relevant Departments of the States

Please submit this completed form plus fee of £45.00, with 2 sets of each of the following to: The Planning Office, States of Alderney, PO Box 1001, Alderney, GY9 3AA

- Location Plan (scale 1:1250) which shows the location of the property and the position of the proposed work.
- **Details**, including dimensions, materials and appearance of proposed work (in some cases a photograph will be adequate).

Please Note: -

It shall be the duty of the person to whom the permit is granted to ensure that the work is carried out in accordance with the approved plan and to comply with any conditions attached by the Committee to the execution of the work.

Under Section 36 of the Building and Development Control (Alderney) Law 2002, any applicant knowingly or recklessly making any false statement is liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding £5,000 and upon application by the Committee, the Court may cancel the permit to which the false statement relates.

Any permission granted as a result of this application is that permission required by the above mentioned laws only, and does not in any way override the rights of any third party.

DESIGN STATEMENT

in support of a

PLANNING APPLICATION

for the

CONSTRUCTION OF A BALCONY

at

BURHOU VIEW, LE PETIT VAL LANE, ALDERNEY GY9 3UU

Relevant Planning History

A planning permission, reference PA/2019/056, was issued on the 30th May 2019 and approved the construction of a balcony and the formation of a new ground floor window opening. The submitted application had indicated a balcony across the rear wall of the property and measuring 3.5m wide. However, the permission granted was conditioned as follows:

Condition:

The width of the balcony is only 1.5m maximum.

Reason:

To comply with policy IW12, to alleviate any overlooking into the neighbours' gardens adjoining the east and west boundaries of the subject property.

Planning Policy

Policy IW12 states the following:

Development proposals should take into account any impact on amenity and the reasonable enjoyment of the development, the adjoining properties and the surrounding area, including overshadowing, overlooking and disturbance during construction, operation and (where relevant) decommissioning.

It is to be noted that IW12 does not impose any prescriptive design standards for the width of a balcony. Nor does it in any way preclude the construction of a balcony. Indeed, the permission granted under PA/2019/056 clearly demonstrates that rear balconies represent reasonable development, and this is amplified in numerous other similar balconies (of varying widths) approved and/or constructed on the Island in the recent past.

In planning policy terms, therefore, it is a subjective judgement as to the extent that any proposed balcony design adequately takes into account the matters raised in IW12 and which should determine the acceptability or otherwise of any proposal.

Design Principles

The design of the proposed balcony which is the subject of this application reflects a proposed width of 2.75m which is a significant reduction in width on the balcony proposed in PA/2019/056 but wider than the limited width (1.5m) conditioned within that planning permission.

This application contains further design information which was not submitted with PA/2019/056 and it is hoped that this will assist in the Building and Development control Committee's further consideration of the design principles involved.

The conditioned balcony width of 1.5m appears to have been determined arbitrarily (there is no special planning policy guidance to indicate design standards). This width limitation to 1.5m seems to be unreasonable given the numerous balconies of greater widths which have previously been approved for construction. Indeed, neighbouring properties to both the east and west of the application property have a terrace (to the east) and a flat roof garden terrace (to the west), both of which are significantly wider than 1.5m. These terraces on the adjacent properties are both shown on application drawing no. TU07A and it can be clearly seen that they are both constructed beyond the building line of the proposed balcony, i.e. both these adjacent terraces extend back into gardens beyond the line proposed by the subject balcony.

Three particular points in respect of the proposed design should be made:

Firstly, it is the applicant's contention that a limit on balcony width to 1.5m renders the balcony virtually pointless in terms of the amenity it will offer but does not, in any material restrictive planning sense, offer any appreciable advantage. In proposing a width of 2.75m it is contended that the degree of overshadowing, overlooking and disturbance during construction (IW12) of a 2.75m wide balcony varies to no material degree to that generated by a 1.5m balcony.

This design point is illustrated on application drawing numbers BV202 and TU07A where the fields of vision from the proposed 2.75m balcony (in red) are compared to those of the already approved 1.5m balcony (in blue). It can be clearly seen that, objectively, a person standing at the extremities of balconies of either width would have virtually identical fields of vision.

Secondly, regarding overlooking (of neighbour's gardens) the application drawings show that the gardens of both neighbouring properties are screened to a significant degree by existing, high, mature hedging and fall away significantly from as-built finished ground floor levels. Application drawing no. BV202 and the photographs on application drawing no. BV203 show clearly that these physical characteristics have the effect that the neighbours' gardens are, in fact, adequately screened from the line of vision of users of the proposed balcony.

The combination of these two material factors (sloping ground and high hedge screening) therefore support the contention that the development proposals have taken fully into account the potential impact upon adjoining properties, that the impact upon amenity is minimal and the proposed development is not at all unreasonable.

Thirdly, both adjoining properties already have rear balconies constructed and the proposed balcony configuration is not at all inconsistent with the current configuration of properties in this general location. With regard to disturbance during construction, all works will be undertaken within the confines of the application property and during normal working hours. No heavy duty or otherwise special and/or excessively noisy plant will be required.