

Public Questions 11th March 2015

Subject: Economic Development	
Question from: Rees Bryant	Response by: Chief Executive
1 How will the Economic Plan be made to happen, and will regular monthly progress reports be issued? And how will the public be involved?	The Policy & Finance Committee will have oversight of the Economic Plan. Detailed delivery will largely be the responsibility of the Civil Service, with regular reporting through the relevant sub-committees for each of the constituent projects comprising the overall plan. Members of the public are encouraged to make comment on the plan and there will be regular public updates on progress.

Subject: Aurigny	
Question from: Rees Bryant	Response by: Chief Executive
2 What can be done to improve our relationship with Aurigny? Or is it whoever on SoG controls Aurigny? Or both? The latest flight changes hinder rather than help. We should be working together as partners for our joint benefit.	The States of Alderney has been lobbying the States of Guernsey, as shareholder, for a Service Level Agreement with Aurigny. This would provide a real evidence- based approach to setting and monitoring performance. The Chairman and the Vice-Chairman of the Policy & Finance Committee, together with the Chief Executive, are meeting Deputy Gavin St Pier (Guernsey's Treasury & Resources Minister) on 18 March to progress this matter. Separately the Chief Executive wrote to the Managing Director of Aurigny on 5 March and requested that a stop be made to the recent schedule changes pending consultation with the Alderney community – a reply is awaited.

Subject: Peoples Meeting – Part II			
Question from: Rees Bryant		Response: Chief Executive	
3	How can we make the Question Time sessions less confrontational? What changes would SMs like to see, and do they agree that members of the public should have the right to ask questions?	States Members are unanimous in their belief in the right of members of the public to ask them questions. They are keen also for people to feel connected with the work they are doing and would like to open up additional routes for public consultation, such as one to one surgeries, smaller group workshops as well as the traditional public meeting format. They have no wish for Question Time to be confrontational, however, they do feel that it is important to challenge misconceptions and set the record straight on the few occasions that this may be necessary.	

Subject: Billet Item V – Lager Sylt			
Question from: Lois Le Lacheur		Response by: Matthew Birmingham	
4	What are the land title references (AY numbers) which make up the site referred to in item V of the billet, the Lager Sylt proposal?	There are a number of parcels registered in Section 1 (L'Emauve) e.g. parcels 200/ 201/286/304/317/378/248/285/277/286 and 122 & 191 that may be involved plus there are others that possibly relate to the airport, of which ownership will also need to be ascertained, this will form part of the process outlined under Section 48 of the BDCC Law.	
	Has the Committee invited representations regarding the registration of Lager Sylt as a conservation area under Section 48 of the Law or is this yet to be done?	The request for representations is part of the process specified in the 2002 law. That takes place once the States agree to take the process forward. That decision is the point of the debate.	

Subject: ARUP review	
Question from: David Gillingham	Response by: Matthew Birmingham
5 Can the States provide the plan, with approximate timetable for implementation of the ARUP review recommendations.	The ARUP review recommendations still have to be considered in detail by BDCC and the full States. When that happens it will be in the public domain.